UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,019	08/26/2003	Robert Gazda	1-2-0353.1US	3473	
24374 VOLPE AND I	7590 10/15/200° KOENIG. P.C.	EXAMINER			
DEPT. ICC	,	SEYE, ABDOU K			
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103		2194		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Assis 2		10/648,019	GAZDA ET AL.		
Οπισε Αστισ	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Abdou Karim Seye	2194		
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specifie Failure to reply within the set of	ER, FROM THE MAILING DA ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 a mailing date of this communication. and above, the maximum statutory period we rextended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u>	<u>igust 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is FIN	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this applica	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accorda	nce with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and</u> 4a) Of the above of 5)□ Claim(s) is 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is	<u>// 31-35</u> is/are rejected.	n from consideration.			
Application Papers			•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the one of the corrections are the corrections.	. a)⊠ àccepted or b)□ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj aminer. Note the attached Office	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•					
Attachment(s)		WILLIAMTHOM	SON EXAMINER		
1) Notice of References Cited		SUPERVIEW PATENT	(PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See (</u>	ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :04/30/2004, 05/12/2004, 12/21/2005/ 10/03/2006.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,019 Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on August 03, 2007 has been received and entered. The applicant elected claims 1-14 and 31-35 and withdrew claims 15-30 and 36-47. The currently pending claims considered below are Claims 1-14 and 31-35.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 31 is non statutory. The claimed system is constructed of software program instructions. Thus, the claimed system comprising an interface with the operating environment, and operating system module and an interface with the target operating system is considered as software program containing machine-executable instructions, per se (and not associated with any physical structure). See MPEP 2106.01 - I:

"...computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized... ".

Application/Control Number: 10/648,019 Page 3

Art Unit: 2194

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that forms the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-14 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilkinson et al. (US 20020091800).

As to Claims 1, 31-33, Wilkinson teaches a method and system for exporting a software model to different operating systems, the method comprising:

providing a software model (Figure 1; paragraph 62);

providing a porting layer, the porting layer porting the software model to an operating environment (Figure 1; paragraph 61 and 63);

providing an operating environment, the operating environment common to all the different operating systems (Figure 1; paragraph 60); and

providing a plurality of operating system abstraction layers, each abstraction layer designed to abstract the operating environment to at least one targeted operating system (Figure 1; paragraph 54-62).

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 2, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the at least one targeted operating system is a single operating system (Figure 1; paragraph 18).

As to claim 3, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the at least one targeted operating system is two operating systems and the method for exporting a software model in a wireless device, a first of the two operating systems is a system operating system and a second of the two operating systems is a communication operating system (Figure 1, paragraph 22; 60; 64).

As to claim 4, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the system operating system operates on an advanced reduced instruction set processor (RISC) and the communication operating system operates on a digital signal processor (DSP) (paragraph 13; 64;73).

As to claim 5, it's rejected for the same reasons claim 4 above.

As to claim 6, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the communication module has an associated shared memory for use in performing operations of code derived from the software model (Figure 1:38; content server).

As to claim 7, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the at least one target operating system is a plurality of operating systems(Figure 1, paragraph 60).

Application/Control Number: 10/648,019

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 8, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the operating environment operates

independently of processor boundaries (Figure 1, paragraph 27).

As to Claim 9, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the operating system abstraction layer

defines the processor boundaries and facilitates communication across the

processor boundaries (Figure 1, paragraph 19; 60).

As to claim 11, Wilkinson teaches, wherein the wireless communication device is a

wireless transmit/receive unit (paragraph 64).

As to claims 12-14 and 34-35, they are rejected for the same reasons the claims

above.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the

applicant's disclosure.

Schaefer (US 20020174215 discloses an operating system abstraction and

protecting layer.

Tavoletti et al. (US 20010027464) discloses an operating system

abstraction interface for broadband terminal.

Tavoletti et al. (US 6721949) discloses kernel abstraction layer for digital

television set-top box firmware.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,019

Art Unit: 2194

Page 6

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Exr. Abdou Seye whose telephone number is

(571) 270-1062. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday

from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, contact

the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson at (571) 272-3718. The fax phone

number for formal or official faxes to Technology Center 3600 is (571) 273-8300.

Draft or informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be

submitted directly to the examiner at (571) 273-6722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone

number is (571) 272-3600.

AKS

October 10,2007

WHILLIAM THOMSON WICCEL PATENT EXAMINER